	Case 2:23-cv-09430-SVW-PD Document #::	124 Filed 11/18/24 2565	Page 1 of 3 Page ID
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Michael C. Murphy, Esq. (S.B. No. 104872) Michael@murphlaw.net Michael.jr@murphlaw.net LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL C. MURPHY 2625 Townsgate Road, Suite 330 Westlake Village, CA 91361 Tel.: 818-558-3718 Fax: 805-367-4506 Attorneys for Defendant, Patrick Byrne		
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13 14 15 16	ROBERT HUNTER BIDEN, an individual,	Case No.: 2:23-cy Judge: Honorab Courtroom: "10A"	le Stephen V. Wilson
17	Plaintiff,) Complaint Filed: N	Tovember 8, 2023
18	TVO.	{ }	REPLY IN
19	VS.) SUPPORT OF HI) LIMINE NO. 1 TO EXPEDIT TESTIN	S MOTION IN O EXCLUDE
20	PATRICK M. BYRNE, an individual,	OPINION BY PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES: MEMORANDUM OF	
21	Defendant.) POINTS AND AU) SUPPORT THER	THORITIES IN LEOF
22) } Doto: Novo	mb on 25, 2024
24) Date: Nove) Time: 3:00 p) Courtroom: "10A	mber 25, 2024 o.m.
25)	
26		}	
27		{	
28		$\int_{1.}$	
	DEFENDANT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION IN LIMING NO. 1 TO EXCLUDE EXPERT TESTIMONY		

LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL C. MURPHY 2625 Townsgate Road, Suite 330 Westlake Village, CA 91361

DEFENDANT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 1 TO EXCLUDE EXPERT TESTIMONY OR OPINION BY PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF

6

7

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEY'S OF RECORD:

Defendant Patrick Byrne hereby replies in support of his motion to exclude any expert testimony from Plaintiff's witnesses.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. **INTRODUCTION**

Defendant respectfully requests the Court grant this motion. Defendant's motion is not limited to the exclusion of undisclosed expert witnesses who are required to provide a written report, but to all undisclosed expert witnesses, including undisclosed non-retained treating physicians. Further, Defendant requested the exclusion of all expert testimony from any of Plaintiff's witnesses. Plaintiff's brief entirely ignores these requests, and therefore Plaintiff's failure to address this issue amounts to acquiescence. Plaintiff admits and agrees that he intentionally chose to forgo the designation of any experts, and therefore any expert testimony from any witness must be excluded.

II. **LEGAL ARGUMENT**

A. Plaintiff Failed to Designate Non-Retained Experts, Including His Treating Physician.

Testimony from undisclosed experts, including treating physicians who will testify to "whether a particular traumatic event caused the condition as opposed to another cause, the expert has been transformed into the same type of expert envisioned by the report requirement..." must be excluded (Goodman v Staples The Office Superstore, LLC, 644 F.3d 817, 822.) Further, Plaintiff ignores his clearly outlined obligation to identify all retained and non-retained experts, which includes his treating physicians under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 26(a)(2)(A). Plaintiff's identification of his treating physician, a nonretained expert, required the disclosure of the subject matter of her expected testimony, with a summary of the facts and opinions to which she will testify. Rule 26(a)(2)(C)(i)-(ii).

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

Plaintiff is not entitled to call Dr. Berlin to opine on "her professional impressions" based on Plaintiff's alleged complaints in this case. To the extent Plaintiff agrees to limit Dr. Berlin's testimony to her treatment of Plaintiff as a fact witness, then the Court should restrict Dr. Berlin's testimony therein. Otherwise, she must be excluded because Plaintiff will use her to backdoor expert testimony without first complying with the expert disclosure requirements under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Similar, no other witnesses can offer expert opinion testimony, including his wife, or any of his other witnesses.

B. Plaintiff Refused to Meet and Confer

Plaintiff's own Exhibit A clearly shows he refused to further discuss Defendant's Motions in Limine, simply stating he categorically refused to stipulate to any of the motions we proposed.

CONCLUSION III.

Based on the foregoing, Defendant respectfully requests that this Court grant this Motion.

Dated: November 18, 2024 LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL C. MURPHY

By: /s/ Michael C. Murphy, Esq.

Michael C. Murphy, Esq. Michael C. Murphy, Jr., Esq. Attorneys for Defendant, Patrick Byrne